		ncial Strategy 2024/25	2020/23			1							~	PPENDIX 1
No.	Risk Category	RISK Threat to achievement of business objective	Causes/Risk Factors	Consequences/ Potential Effects	Risk Owner	Cor	No htrols in P	e (Assume lace) Risk Score	Current Internal Controls	Control Assessment/ Score	Potential Financial Risk	Current Ri Coi	sk Score htrols in Pl	(With ace) Risk Score
NO.	Category	or business objective	Causes/Risk Factors	Potential Effects	RISK Owner	Likelihood	Impact	RISK Score	Current Internal Controls	Score	£	Likelinood	Impact	RISK Score
1	Economy and Funding	If there is a reduction in Government funding to Local Authorities in real terms it could result in a reduced ability to provide services	Increasing direction of funding within the settlement through specific grant;	Reduction in ability to provide services; Constraints on local policy areas; Reputational damage; Increasing pressure on core budgets; Real terms inflationary pressures are not funded;	Director Finance and Procurement (Section 95 Officer)	4	4	16	Estimate of resources over the 5 year period built into financial plan; There is a projection that the Council will receive a flat cash settlement from Scottish Government; Regular Monitoring and quarterly reporting to Exec Committee; Transformation and Savings Plans (incl. early engagement with services to devise plans to achieve savings);	Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective Partially Effective	2,850,000 based on 1% variation in SG grant	4	3	12
2	Environment	If there are adverse winter weather conditions (e.g. snow, ice, wind damage or flooding) it may result in a strain on the Winter Maintenance Budget and additional revenue and capital costs.	Adverse winter conditions; Climate Change;	Strain on Winter Maintenance budget; Additional revenue and capital costs; Service disruption;	Director Infrastructure & Environment	4	4	16	Bellwin Scheme available, threshold applies at 0.2% of net revenue budget but only if Scottish Government activate the Bellwin scheme; Allocated Reserve of £1m earmarked to provide contingency for adverse weather; Development of Community Resilience Scheme (progressing but unlikely to make significant impact on costs); Business Continuity Plans;	Fully Effective Fully Effective Partially Effective Partially Effective	£1m allocated reserve held seperately	4	3	12
3	Budget Control	If we are unable to deliver budgeted reductions then expenditure may not be contained within approved budgets and there may be negative impacts on service provision.	account of cumulative effect of failing to deliver financial savings in-year; Unanticipated increased demand	Expenditure is not contained within budget and may result in future reduced service provision; Increased accumulation of savings to be made; Knock-on impacts to other Council services;	Director Finance & Procurement (Section 95 Officer) / Budget Holders	4	4	16	Tracking through monitoring process; Budgetary Control Management Actions; Implementation of Target Operating Model to ensure the Council continues to be financially sustainable and operates within resources available;		2,500,000	4	3	12
4	Budget Control	If we are unable to accurately forecast ageing population demographics and plan service provision accordingly then expenditure may not be contained within approved budgets and may result in reduced service provision.	Ageing population; Strategic Assessment data time lag; Demographic forecasting assumptions are inadequate; Lead times to plan for service provision;	Additional unexpected/unplanned revenue and capital costs;	Chief Officer IJB & HSCP	5	3	15	Business and medium term Revenue Financial Plans takes accounts of demographic pressures; Investment in provision of local facilities within medium to long term Capital Financial Plans; Improved population data available to test changes in population assumptions; Recent financial support from SG for delivery of IJB Services.	Fully Effective Partially Effective Partially Effective Partially Effective	0	4	2	8

	Risk	RISK Threat to achievement	ŧ	Consequences/		Original Risk Score (Assum No Controls in Place)				Control Assessment/	Potential Financial	Current Risk Score Controls in Pl		(With Place)
No.	Category	of business objective	Causes/Risk Factors	Potential Effects	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score	Current Internal Controls	Score	Risk £	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score
5		If we are unable to accurately forecast demographics in relation to vulnerable children/children with complex needs then we may not be able to plan service provision accordingly and expenditure may not be contained within approved budgets, resulting in the potential for reduced service provision.	Lead times to plan for Service Provision; Insufficient investment in early intervention and prevention; Delay in the Identification of regional complex needs/ potential future demand for services; Inflationary pressures on commissioned services; Lack of availability of OOA placements.	Significant overspend due to demand pressures and the need to accommodate looked after children in expensive residential settings including secure units (incl. placements outwith area); Additional unexpected/unplanned capital costs;	Director Social Work & Practice / Director Education & Lifelong Learning	5	4	20	Current costs reflected in revenue budget; CMT commitment to reduce out of area placement costs going forward through the development of more effective local solutions; Investment in provision of local facilities within medium to long term Capital Financial Plans. 2023/24 budget pressures funded in 2024/25 budget;	Partially Effective Partially Effective Partially Effective Fully Effective	2,000,000	4	4	16
6			Lack of sound project management incl, design, build and testing through to implementation; Inadequate specification of requirements; Scope Creep; Failure of strategic governance;	Potential requirement to write-off to revenue, costs incurred in developing capital schemes; Expected enablers are not developed; Expected efficiencies are not realised.	Director Infrastructure & Environment / Director Strategic Commission ing & Partnerships	3	4	12	Governance arrangements associated with development of large complex capital schemes (e.g. Tweedbank and IT transformation); Robust project management, dialogue and ensuring necessary statutory approvals are achieved; Tracking through Revenue and Capital Budget Monitoring Processes; Development of PMO (Capital).	Partially Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective Partially Effective	500,000	3	3	9
7		If there is insufficient funding to support national policy changes we may not be able to deliver changes to their full extent or they may not meet expectations.	Distribution formula used is misaligned with local requirements e.g. changes to charging regimes or teachers pay; Inflation;	Changes not delivered; Expectations not met; Negative impacts to local policies/resources to deliver national policy changes within available funding; Negative impact on financial sustainability;	Director Finance & Procurement (Section 95 Officer)	4	4	16	Active engagement through COSLA and the Settlement Distribution Group (SDG) to ensure local circumstances are reflected as far as possible in distributions; Verity House Agreement;	Partially Effective	0	3	3	9

APPENDIX 1

Niak	Register. I ma	ncial Strategy 2024/25	- 2020/23	1	1				1	1			A	PPENDIX 1
No.	Risk Category	RISK Threat to achievement of business objective	Causes/Risk Factors	Consequences/ Potential Effects	Risk Owner	°,	Risk Score No trols in P Impact	e (Assume lace) Risk Score	Current Internal Controls	Control Assessment/ Score	Potential Financial Risk £	Current Ri Cor Likelihood	sk Score ntrols in Pl Impact	(With ace) Risk Score
8	Supplier Failure	If major contractors/ providers of essential services (e.g. Transport/ Care) go out of business then there will be immediate loss of essential service, negative pressures on budgets, reserves and costs associated with retendering.		Loss of essential services; Immediate pressure on revenue budgets / reserves; Increased evidence of costs increasing following retendering; Lack of competition/suppliers in the market for retendering; Council is provider of last resort - negative impacts on staff resourcing and Council capacity; Potential requirement to TUPE Transfer.	All Directors	4	4	16	Contract monitoring and ongoing liaison; Due diligence undertaken before and during contract periods; Review and Revise Service Provision Requirements; Significant additional budget growth provided within the last 2 annual budgets to cover increased costs.	Partially Effective Partially Effective Partially Effective Fully Effective	500,000	4	3	12
9	Economy and Funding	If the Council does not adequately assess counterparty risk and select secure investments then funds deposited could be lost.	Economic Conditions (e.g. interest rates); Major disruptive events e.g. financial crises; Failures in due diligence; Lack of knowledge and Skills (Staff SPOFs);	Funds deposited are lost; Significant reputational damage; Failure to protect the public pound;	Director Finance & Procurement (Section 95 Officer)	3	3	9	Disciplined maintenance of counterparty list, spread deposits where practicable; Treasury strategy and policy in place and regularly reviewed; Daily Information from Link Asset Services; Annual revisions made to strategy to reflect changes in the economic situation; Compliance with credit control worthiness policy monitored on an ongoing basis and robust scrutiny at point of investment.	Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective	0	2	3	6
10	Economy and Funding	the scale of debts owed to the Council (Sundry	Economic/Market Conditions (e.g. interest rates/Inflation/ downturns/ cost of living crisis); Major disruptive events e.g. financial crises; Failures in due diligence e.g. credit worthiness/ability to pay;	Potential pressure on revenue budgets as greater amounts need to be written off; Potential need to increase bad debt provisions (e.g. in line with economic conditions);	Director Finance & Procurement (Section 95 Officer)	4	3	12	Bad Debt provision in place, (proved adequate for Council Tax and NDR, historically); Robust Income Management and Debt Recovery Policy Framework; Ongoing monitoring/reporting of debt management to Exec Committee; Routine monitoring Council Tax collection levels and bad debt write-offs to assess if bad debt provision is sufficient;	Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective	0	4	2	8

APPENDIX 1

INISK I	tegister. I inal	Icial Strategy 2024/25		1		r			1				A	PPENDIX 1
No.	and Funding	RISK Threat to achievement of business objective Changes to the taxation base (e.g. NDR income being devolved to Local Authorities rather than included in the national pool) could result in a reduced funding for the Council.	taxation/devolution of elements to local authorities); Economic conditions (e.g. inflation);	Consequences/ Potential Effects Reduced level of NDR income for Council with subsequent pressure on revenue budgets; Increased administration and other costs to implement policy changes/negative impacts	Risk Owner Director Finance & Procurement (Section 95 Officer)/ Assessor/ Director Resilient Communities	Cor	No htrols in Pl	e (Assume ace) Risk Score 9	Current Internal Controls Medium term Revenue Financial Plan (based on Scot Gov 3-year spending review); Analysis and assessment of Scottish Government settlement; Membership of National Forums (e.g. Cosla, Directors of Finance, Scottish Assessors Group);	Control Assessment/ Score Partially Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective	Potential Financial Risk £	Current Ri Coi Likelihood	sk Score htrols in Pl Impact 2	(With ace) Risk Score 6
12	Budget Control	If there is a general failure of our budgetary control processes due to unexpected cost drivers then there may be negative implications across wider areas of the Council.	Additional Contract costs & Retendering processes; Unavoidable Service spend increases; Increase in stretched budgets reduces flexibility; Concurrent and compounding	on Council capacity; Unexpected overspends in revenue and / or capital budgets; Negative impact on wider Service Plans and delivery to cover specific overspends; Delays to Capital Programmes; Potential need to retender contracts.	Director Finance & Procurement (Section 95 Officer)/ All Directors	5	4	20	Financial Regulations (incl. budgetary control processes); Monitoring processes (for both revenue and capital); Regular reporting to CMT and quarterly reporting to Executive Committee; Budgetary Control Training for Budget Holders; Ongoing monitoring of contracts; Medium-term financial planning (Revenue & Capital); Longer-term Revenue Financial Strategy (incl. scenario planning/test of assumptions); Engage with key suppliers; Regular engagement with SOLACE/ COSLA and Scottish Govt;	Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective Partially Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective	3,700,000 (1% overspend risk on £370m)	4	3	12
13	Pay	If the pay award exceeds the budgetary provision then it will create a budgetary pressure for the Council.	assumptions; Unknown ongoing impacts Covid- 19 Pandemic (e.g. increased service demand). Economic and Market Conditions (e.g. cost of living crisis, inflation, industrial action); Labour market shortages; Timing of pay and conditions negotiations with Trade Unions outwith budget setting cycle;	Costs exceed income/funding creating a budgetary pressure; Potential need to lobby for additional funding from Scot Gov; Negative impacts on recruitment/retention; Potential need to increase Council Tax beyond plans.	Director Finance & Procurement (Section 95 Officer)/ Director People, Performance & Change.	3	4	12	Engagement with COSLA and Directors of Finance on affordability of future pay settlements to limit impact on Council Tax payers; Council pay provision set in line with Government Pay Policy; Scenario modelling as part of medium- term financial planning; Planned/proportionate increases in Council Tax;	Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective	2,000,000 (1% increase in pay award)	3	3	9

	Risk	RISK Threat to achievement		Consequences/		Original Risk Score (Assume No Controls in Place)				Control Assessment/	Potential Financial	Current Risk Score Controls in Pla		(With lace)
No.	Category	of business objective	Causes/Risk Factors	Potential Effects	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score	Current Internal Controls	Score	Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score
14		awarded against the Council (e.g. damages from individuals formerly under local authority	Failure to apply safe and proper practices/breach of legislation; Litigation following a contractual claim resulting from legal dispute; An adverse judgement; Historical claims brought against the Council; Out of Court settlements;	Reputational damage (incl. headline exposure); Rectification activity; Increased scrutiny/loss of trust and credibility; Legal costs incurred; Significant unbudgeted financial costs;	All Directors/ Chief Legal Officer/ Monitoring Officer/ Director Finance & Procurement (Section 95 Officer)	4	4	16	Monitoring processes, both internal and reporting to Members; Council internal control framework (incl. adherence to policies & procedures by all respective service areas and staff; Contingent liability provision for specific claims; Defined Statutory Officer Roles and Responsibilities (e.g. Monitoring Officer, Section 95 Officer).	Fully Effective Partially Effective Fully Effective Fully Effective	£ 750,000	4	3	12
15	j	requirements then there may be financial penalties	Inexperienced staff/human error; Failure of business processes/internal financial controls; Staff capacity - missed reporting deadlines;	Financial Penalties and Interest charges; Reputational damage; Additional scrutiny;	Director Finance & Procurement (Section 95 Officer) / Director People, Performance and Change	4	3	12	Business processes and internal financial controls are fit for purpose; Staff training on business processes and internal financial controls; Business World System Controls.	Partially Effective Partially Effective Fully Effective	0	2	3	6
16		through Government funding streams is no longer eligible for that grant	Inadequate retention of documentation and evidence of spend; Improper use of Grant Funding; Failure to adhere to strict Grant Funding Criteria.	The Council would have to fund any potential shortfall resulting from the audit of Grant claims which can arise a number of years after the original project concluded; Negative impact on reserves/core service provision.	Director Finance & Procurement/ Director Resilient Communities	4	3	12	Ongoing review by officers to ensure only eligible expenditure is included in any grant claims submitted for reimbursement; Internal Audit Review Outcomes; Ensuring that expenditure is only committed when funding is confirmed;	Fully Effective Fully Effective Partially Effective	0	3	2	6

Projected General Fund unallocated balance as at 1 April 2024	7,414,000
Risks Per risk register	14,800,000
% of Risks per risk register covered by unallocated balances	50 %